

# ESTIMATES RELATED TO SUMFREE SUBSETS OF SETS OF INTEGERS

BY

JEAN BOURGAIN

*Institute for Advanced Study  
Olden Lane, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA  
e-mail: bourgain@math.ias.edu*

## ABSTRACT

A subset  $A$  of the positive integers  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  is called sumfree provided  $(A + A) \cap A = \emptyset$ . It is shown that any finite subset  $B$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  contains a sumfree subset  $A$  such that  $|A| \geq \frac{1}{3}(|B| + 2)$ , which is a slight improvement of earlier results of P. Erdős [Erd] and N. Alon–D. Kleitman [A-K]. The method used is harmonic analysis, refining the original approach of Erdős. In general, define  $s_k(B)$  as the maximum size of a  $k$ -sumfree subset  $A$  of  $B$ , i.e.  $(A)_k = \underbrace{A + \cdots + A}_{k \text{ times}}$  is disjoint from  $A$ . Elaborating the tech-

niques permits one to prove that, for instance,  $s_3(B) > \frac{|B|}{4} + c \frac{\log |B|}{\log \log |B|}$  as an improvement of the estimate  $s_k(B) > \frac{|B|}{4}$  resulting from Erdős' argument. It is also shown that in an inequality  $s_k(B) > \delta_k |B|$ , valid for any finite subset  $B$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ , necessarily  $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$  for  $k \rightarrow \infty$  (which seemed to be an unclear issue). The most interesting part of the paper are the methods we believe and the resulting harmonic analysis questions. They may be worthwhile to pursue.

## 1. Introduction

Call a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  sumfree provided  $(A + A) \cap A = \emptyset$ . It is observed in [Erd] that any finite subset  $B$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  contains a sumfree subset  $A$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad |A| \geq \frac{1}{3} |B|.$$

In [A-K], the authors pointed out that in fact the argument yields

$$(1.2) \quad |A| > \frac{1}{3} |B|, \text{ hence } |A| \geq \frac{1}{3} (|B| + 1).$$

---

Received March 7, 1995

The purpose of this note is to develop a harmonic analysis approach to this and similar problems, estimating discrepancies using techniques related to trigonometric sums. We show, for instance, the following slight improvement of (1.2).

PROPOSITION 1.3:

$$S(B) \geq \frac{1}{3} (|B| + 2), \quad \text{for any } B \subset \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

$S(B)$  denotes the maximum size of a sumfree subset of  $B$ .

There is also the following fact, which in many cases yields a more significant improvement.

PROPOSITION 1.4:

$$S(B) \geq \frac{|B|}{3} + c_1 (\log |B|)^{-1} \left\| \sum_{k \in B} \cos 2\pi k\theta \right\|_1.$$

Here  $c_1$  is some fixed constant. From the solution to Littlewood's conjecture, one has always

$$(1.5) \quad \left\| \sum_{k \in B} e^{2\pi i k\theta} \right\|_1 \equiv \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k \in B} \cos 2\pi k\theta \right| d\theta > c_2 \log |B|$$

(see [M-P-S] for the proof).

One may similarly define  $S_3(B)$  as the size of the largest subset  $A$  of  $B$  satisfying

$$(1.6) \quad (A + A + A) \cap A = \emptyset.$$

The harmonic analysis techniques may be here exploited in a more successful way. The following improvement on the "obvious" inequality  $S_3(B) > |B|/4$  is obtained.

PROPOSITION 1.7:

$$S_3(B) > \frac{|B|}{4} + c \frac{\log |B|}{\log \log |B|}.$$

From a technical point of view, this is the most interesting part of the paper.

## 2. Harmonic analysis formulation

Denote by  $f$  the indicator function of the arc  $J = ]\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}[$  on the circle  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ .

Observe that if  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $nx \in J$  for all  $n \in A$ , then clearly  $A$  is sumfree. Hence

$$(2.1) \quad S(B) \geq \max_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \sum_{m \in B} f(mx) = \frac{|B|}{3} + \max_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \sum_{m \in B} \left(f - \frac{1}{3}\right)(mx).$$

The Fourier expansion of the function  $f - \frac{1}{3}$  yields

$$(2.2) \quad f(x) - \frac{1}{3} = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{n\pi} \cdot \sin \frac{n\pi}{3} \cdot e^{2\pi i n x} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} \cos nx$$

where  $\chi$  is the multiplicative character defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \chi(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $\mu$  the Moebius function. Let  $2, 3, 5, \dots, P, \dots$  be an enumeration of the consecutive primes. For a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , denote

$$\mathcal{G}_A = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (n, k) = 1 \text{ for all } k \in A\}.$$

Observe that

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{k|P!, k|n} \mu(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{G}_{2, \dots, P}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin \mathcal{G}_{2, \dots, P}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, one gets

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{k|P!} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \chi(k) \left(f - \frac{1}{3}\right)(kx) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{G}_{2, \dots, P}} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} \cos nx \\ = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \cos x - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{G}_{2, \dots, P} \\ n > 1}} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} \cos nx$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{k|P!} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \chi(k) \left[ \sum_{m \in B} \left(f - \frac{1}{3}\right)(mkx) \right] = \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \sum_{m \in B} \cos mx - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{G}_{2, \dots, P}, n > 1 \\ m \in B}} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} \cos mnx.$$

### 3. Proof of Proposition 1.3

We minorate

$$(3.1) \quad \max_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \sum_{m \in B} \left( f - \frac{1}{3} \right) (mx) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \left[ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1, m \in B} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} \cos nm x \right].$$

Denote  $0 < m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots < m_N$  the elements of  $B$ . We may assume  $\gcd(B) = 1$ . We distinguish first the cases  $m_1 > 1$  and  $m_1 = 1$ .

(I): Case  $m_1 > 1$ . Define  $j = \min \{j' = 2, \dots, N \mid m_{j'} \notin m_1 \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Denote for convenience

$$(3.2) \quad F(x) = - \sum_{n \geq 1, m \in B} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} \cos nm x.$$

Consider the test function

$$(3.3) \quad G(x) = (1 - \cos m_1 x)(1 - \cos m_j x)$$

satisfying  $G \geq 0$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} G = 1$ . Hence

$$(3.4) \quad \max_{x \in \mathbb{T}} F(x) \geq \langle F, G \rangle = -\widehat{F}(m_1) - \widehat{F}(m_j) + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{F}(m_j - m_1) + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{F}(m_j + m_1).$$

By definition of  $j$ , we have

$$(3.5) \quad m_j \notin nB \quad \text{for } n > 1, \quad \text{hence } \widehat{F}(m_j) = -\frac{1}{2},$$

$$(3.6) \quad m_j - m_1 \notin nB \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad \text{hence } \widehat{F}(m_j - m_1) = 0,$$

$$(3.7) \quad m_j + m_1 \notin nB \quad \text{for } n > 1, \quad \text{hence } \widehat{F}(m_j + m_1) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad -\frac{1}{2}.$$

For instance, if  $m_j + m_1 = nm_{j'}$  with  $n \geq 2$ , there would follow  $m_{j'} \leq \frac{1}{2}(m_1 + m_j) < m_j$ , hence  $j' < j$  and  $m_{j'} \in m_1 \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m_j \in m_1 \mathbb{Z}$  (contradiction).

From (3.4)–(3.7), we get thus

$$(3.8) \quad \max_{x \in \mathbb{T}} F(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4}$$

and hence

$$(3.9) \quad (3.1) \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{3}{4} = 0,41\dots > \frac{1}{3}.$$

(II): Case  $m_1 = 1$ . Distinguish the cases  $m_2 > 2$  and  $m_2 = 2$ .

CASE  $m_2 > 2$ : Take

$$(3.10) \quad G(x) = 1 - \frac{4}{3} \cos x + \frac{1}{3} \cos 2x \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$(3.11) \quad \max_{x \in \mathbb{T}} F(x) \geq \langle F, G \rangle = -\frac{4}{3} \widehat{F}(1) + \frac{1}{3} \widehat{F}(2) = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{3}{4}$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad (3.1) \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{3}{4} > \frac{1}{3}.$$

CASE  $m_2 = 2$ : Thus  $m_1 = 1$ ,  $m_2 = 2$ . Take

$$(3.13) \quad G(x) = (1 - \cos x)(1 - \cos 3x).$$

This yields

$$(3.14) \quad \max_{x \in \mathbb{T}} F(x) \geq \langle F, G \rangle = \frac{1}{2} - \widehat{F}(m_3) + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{F}(m_3 - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{F}(m_3 + 1).$$

(1):  $m_3 = 3$ . Then  $\widehat{F}(m_3) = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\widehat{F}(m_3 - 1) = -\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\widehat{F}(m_3 + 1) \geq -\frac{3}{8}$  and

$$(3.14) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{8} - \frac{3}{16} = \frac{11}{16},$$

$$(3.15) \quad (3.1) \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{11}{16} = 0,37\dots > \frac{1}{3}.$$

(2):  $m_3 = 4$ . Then  $\widehat{F}(m_3) = -\frac{3}{8}$ ,  $\widehat{F}(m_3 - 1) = 0$ ,  $\widehat{F}(m_3 + 1) \geq -\frac{2}{5}$  and

$$(3.14) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{8} - \frac{1}{5} = \frac{27}{40},$$

$$(3.16) \quad (3.1) \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{27}{40} = 0,37\dots > \frac{1}{3}.$$

(3):  $m_3 = 5$ . Then  $\widehat{F}(m_3) = -\frac{2}{5}$ ,  $\widehat{F}(m_3 - 1) = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $\widehat{F}(m_3 + 1) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  and

$$(3.14) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{5} + \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{57}{80},$$

$$(3.17) \quad (3.1) \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{57}{80} = 0,39\dots > \frac{1}{3}.$$

(4):  $m_3 \geq 6$ ,  $m_3 \in 3\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\widehat{F}(m_3) = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\widehat{F}(m_3 - 1) \geq -\frac{1}{m_3 - 1} + \frac{1}{2(m_3 - 1)} = -\frac{1}{2(m_3 - 1)}$ ,  $\widehat{F}(m_3 + 1) \geq -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2(m_3 + 1)}$  and

$$(3.18) \quad (3.14) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4(m_3 - 1)} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4(m_3 + 1)} \geq \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{20} - \frac{1}{28},$$

$$(3.19) \quad (3.1) \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \cdot \left( \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{20} - \frac{1}{28} \right) = 0,36 \dots > \frac{1}{3}.$$

(5):  $m_3 \geq 6$ ,  $m_3 \in 3\mathbb{Z} + 1$ . Then  $\widehat{F}(m_3) \leq -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{m_3} - \frac{1}{2m_3} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2m_3}$ ,  $\widehat{F}(m_3 - 1) = 0$ ,  $\widehat{F}(m_3 + 1) \geq -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{m_3 + 1} + \frac{1}{2(m_3 + 1)} = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2(m_3 + 1)}$  and

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} (3.14) &\geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m_3} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4(m_3 + 1)} \\ &= \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2m_3} - \frac{1}{4(m_3 + 1)} \geq \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{14} - \frac{1}{32}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.21) \quad (3.1) \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \left( \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{14} - \frac{1}{32} \right) = 0,35 \dots > \frac{1}{3}.$$

(6):  $m_3 \geq 6$ ,  $m_3 \in 3\mathbb{Z} + 2$ . Then  $\widehat{F}(m_3) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2m_3}$ ,  $\widehat{F}(m_3 - 1) \geq -\frac{1}{2(m_3 - 1)}$ ,  $\widehat{F}(m_3 + 1) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  and

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} (3.14) &\geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m_3} - \frac{1}{4(m_3 - 1)} - \frac{1}{4} = \\ &\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2m_3} - \frac{1}{4(m_3 - 1)} \geq \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{28}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.23) \quad (3.1) \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \left( \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{28} \right) = 0,35 \dots > \frac{1}{3}.$$

From (3.9), (3.12), (3.15), (3.16), (3.17), (3.19), (3.21), (3.23), it follows that for any  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $|B| \geq 3$

$$(3.24) \quad \max_{x \in T} \left[ \sum_{m \in B} \left( f - \frac{1}{3} \right) (mx) \right] > \frac{1}{3}$$

hence, by (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} S(B) &> \frac{|B|}{3} + \frac{1}{3}, \\ S(B) &\geq \frac{|B|}{3} + \frac{2}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

proving Proposition 1.3.

#### 4. Poof of Proposition 1.4

Since

$$F(x) = \sum_{m \in B} \left( f - \frac{1}{3} \right) (mx)$$

satisfies  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} F \, dx = 0$ , it follows that

$$(4.1) \quad \max_{x \in \mathbb{T}} F(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|F\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})}.$$

From equality (2.6)

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \left\| \sum_{m \in B} \cos mx \right\|_1 \leq \left[ \prod_{p \leq P, p \neq 3} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \right] \|F\|_1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \left\| \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{G}_{2, \dots, P}, \\ m \in B, n > 1}} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} \cos mn x \right\|_1.$$

The second term in (4.2) is bounded by

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot |B| \left[ \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{G}_{2, \dots, P}, \\ m \in B}} \left( \frac{\chi(n)}{n} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} < C |B| P^{-1/2}.$$

Taking  $P = |B|^2$ , it follows that

$$(4.4) \quad \|F\|_1 > c \prod_{p \leq P} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \cdot \left\| \sum_{m \in B} \cos mx \right\|_1 > c(\log P)^{-1} \left\| \sum_{m \in B} \cos mx \right\|_1$$

and this proves Proposition 1.4.

#### 5. Further estimates on (2.6)

LEMMA 5.1: *Let  $S$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $P > (\log |S|)^2$ . Then for  $K > 1$*

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{1}{K} \sum_{\substack{k \leq K \\ k \in \mathcal{G}_{2, 3, \dots, P}}} |S \cap kS| < C P^{-1/2} |S| \cdot \log |S|.$$

*Proof:* (i) Construction of a partition of  $I \equiv \{k \leq K \mid k \in \mathcal{G}_{2, 3, \dots, P}\}$ . For  $k \in I$ , denote  $q(k)$  the largest prime divisor. Hence  $q(k) \geq P$ . Define

$$I_n = \{k \in I \mid k = n q(k)\}.$$

Hence  $I = \bigcup_{n \leq K/P} I_n$ ,  $\sum_{n \leq K/P} |I_n| < K$ .

Assume

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k \in I} |S \cap kS| > \delta |S|.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{K} \sum_{n \leq \frac{K}{P}} |I_n| \left( \frac{1}{|I_n|} \sum_{k \in I_n} |S \cap kS| \right) > \delta |S|.$$

Hence, there is  $n' \leq K/P$  such that  $I' = I_{n'}$  satisfies

$$(5.4) \quad |I'| > \frac{\delta}{10} P \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{|I'|} \sum_{k \in I'} |S \cap kS| > \frac{\delta}{10} |S|.$$

Denote  $J = \frac{1}{n'} I'$  the corresponding set of primes. Thus

$$(5.5) \quad |J| > \frac{\delta}{10} P \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{p \in J} |S \cap n'pS| > \frac{\delta}{10} |S|.$$

(ii) Define next

$$\begin{aligned} S_\tau^+ &= \{x \in S \mid \#\{p \in J \mid n'px \in S\} > \tau |J|\}, \\ S_\tau^- &= \left\{x \in S \mid \#\{p \in J \mid n'p \mid x \text{ and } \frac{x}{n'p} \in S\} > \tau |J|\right\}, \\ S_\tau &= S_\tau^+ \cup S_\tau^-. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{p \in J} |(S \setminus S_\tau) \cap n'pS| = \\ &\frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{x \in S \setminus S_\tau} \#\left\{p \in J \mid n'p \mid x \text{ and } \frac{x}{n'p} \in S\right\} < \tau |S| \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{p \in J} |S \cap n'p(S \setminus S_\tau)| = \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{x \in S \setminus S_\tau} \#\{p \in J \mid n'px \in S\} < \tau |S|.$$

Thus (5.5), (5.6), (5.7) imply

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{p \in J} |S_\tau \cap n'pS_\tau| > \left(\frac{\delta}{10} - 2\tau\right) |S_\tau|.$$

Fix an integer  $r \sim \log |S|$  and define  $\tau = \delta/100r$ . With previous notation, define the decreasing sequence of sets

$$S^0 = S, \quad S^1 = S_\tau, \quad S^{q+1} = (S^q)_\tau \quad \text{for } q < r.$$

By definition of  $\tau$

$$(5.9) \quad \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{p \in J} |S^q \cap n'pS^q| > \frac{\delta}{20} |S^q| \quad \text{for } q \leq r$$

and in particular

$$(5.10) \quad S^r \neq \emptyset.$$

(iii) Construction of a tree. Take a point  $x \in S^r$ . We will introduce points  $x(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_w)$  with  $\ell_i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$  and  $w < r$ .

Since  $x \in S^r = (S^{r-1})_\tau$  there is  $\varepsilon_0 = \pm 1$  such that, by (5.5) (and (5.18) below),

$$(5.11) \quad \# \{p \in J \mid (n'p)^{\varepsilon_0} x \in S^{r-1}\} > \tau \cdot |J| = \frac{\delta^2}{10^3 r} P > 10.$$

Choose  $p(\ell_1)$ ,  $\ell_1 = 1, \dots, 10$  with  $(n'p(\ell_1))^{\varepsilon_0} x \in S^{r-1}$  and define

$$(5.12) \quad x(\ell_1) = (n'p(\ell_1))^{\varepsilon_0} x.$$

If the construction is performed up to stage  $w$  with  $x(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_w) \in S^{r-w}$ , we proceed as follows. Since for some  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_w) = \pm 1$ ,

$$(5.13) \quad \# \{p \in J \mid (n'p)^\varepsilon x(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_w) \in S^{r-w-1}\} > \frac{\delta^2 P}{10^3 r} > 10w,$$

we may find distinct  $p(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_w, \ell_{w+1})$ ,  $\ell_{w+1} = 1, \dots, 10$  satisfying

$$(5.14) \quad (n'p(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{w+1}))^\varepsilon x(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_w) \in S^{r-w-1}$$

and

$$(5.15) \quad p(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{w+1}) \notin \{p(\ell) \mid \ell = 1, \dots, 10\} \cup \{p(\ell_1, \ell) \mid \ell = 1, \dots, 10\} \cup \dots \cup \{p(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{w-1}, \ell) \mid \ell = 1, \dots, 10\}.$$

Define

$$(5.16) \quad x(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_w, \ell_{w+1}) = [n'p(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{w+1})]^{\varepsilon(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_w)} x(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_w).$$

Thus from (5.12), (5.16)

$$(5.17) \quad x(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r) = [n'p(\ell_1)]^{\varepsilon_0} [n'p(\ell_1, \ell_2)]^{\varepsilon(\ell_1)} \dots [n'p(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r)]^{\varepsilon(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{r-1})} x.$$

To fulfil condition (5.13), we need

$$(5.18) \quad P > 10^4 \delta^{-2} r^2.$$

(iv) For each  $\bar{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{r-1}) \in \{1, -1\}^{r-1}$ , let

$$(5.19) \quad \alpha_{\bar{\varepsilon}} = \{(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r) \in \{1, \dots, 10\}^r \mid \varepsilon(\ell_1) = \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon(\ell_1, \ell_2) = \varepsilon_{2, \dots}, \varepsilon(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{r-1}) = \varepsilon_{r-1}\}.$$

Thus we may fix some  $\bar{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$(5.20) \quad \# \alpha_{\bar{\varepsilon}} > 10^r 2^{-(r-1)} > 2^r.$$

We claim that the points

$$(5.21) \quad x(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r) \quad \text{with } (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r) \in \alpha_{\bar{\varepsilon}}$$

are all distinct and thus by (5.20)

$$(5.22) \quad |S| > 2^r.$$

By construction and (5.17)

$$(5.23) \quad x(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r) = (n')^{\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{r-1}} p(\ell_1)^{\varepsilon_0} p(\ell_1, \ell_2)^{\varepsilon_1} \dots p(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r)^{\varepsilon_{r-1}} x$$

and the claim will result from the fact that for given  $\bar{\varepsilon}$  all rationals

$$p(\ell_1)^{\varepsilon_0} p(\ell_1, \ell_2)^{\varepsilon_1} \dots p(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r)^{\varepsilon_{r-1}}, \quad \ell_i = 1, \dots, 10$$

are distinct. Now this property is easily seen to result from (5.15).

In order to contradict (5.22), we take thus  $r \sim \log |S|$ . Condition (5.18) yields then  $\delta > 10^2 \cdot \log |S| \cdot P^{-1/2}$ , proving the lemma.

*Remark:* Observe that (for  $K$  large wrt  $P$ ) one has

$$(5.24) \quad \#\{k \leq K \mid k \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\dots,P}\} \sim \prod_{p \leq P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) K \sim (\log P)^{-1} K$$

which does not imply (5.2).

LEMMA 5.25: Let  $|S| = N$ ,  $P > (\log N)^4$ . Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $\|f\|_2 \leq 1$ . Then

$$(5.26) \quad \frac{1}{K} \sum_{\substack{k \leq K \\ 1 < k \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\dots,P}}} \|\widehat{f}|_{kS}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})} < P^{-1/8} N^{1/2}.$$

*Proof:* Consider level sets  $A_\gamma = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid |\widehat{f}(n)| \sim \gamma\}$ . Thus

$$(5.27) \quad |A_\gamma| \leq \gamma^{-2}.$$

The left member of (5.26) equals

$$(5.28) \quad \frac{1}{K} \sum_{\substack{k \leq K \\ k \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\dots,P}}} \sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma < 1 \\ \gamma \text{ dyadic}}} \gamma |A_\gamma \cap kS|.$$

We distinguish 3 cases.

(I)  $\gamma < N^{-2}$ . Estimate the corresponding summand in (5.28) trivially by

$$N \cdot N^{-2} < N^{-1}.$$

(II)  $N^{-1/2} > \gamma > N^{-2}$ . Fix  $\gamma$  and let  $S^\gamma = S \cup A_\gamma$ . Hence  $|S^\gamma| \leq \gamma^{-2}$ . Thus, by Lemma 5.1

$$(5.29) \quad \frac{1}{K} \sum_{\substack{k \leq K \\ k \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\dots,P}}} |A_\gamma \cap kS| \leq \frac{1}{K} \sum_{\substack{k \leq K \\ k \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\dots,P}}} |S^\gamma \cap kS^\gamma| < \frac{\gamma^{-2}}{P^{1/4}}$$

and hence

$$(5.30) \quad \frac{\gamma}{K} \sum_{\substack{k \leq K \\ k \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\dots,P}}} |A_\gamma \cap kS| < \min\left(\frac{\gamma^{-1}}{P^{1/4}}, \gamma N\right)$$

which contribution is

$$(5.31) \quad \leq \frac{N^{1/2}}{P^{1/8}}.$$

(III)  $\gamma > N^{-1/2}$ . In this case  $|S^\gamma| \leq N$  and by Lemma 5.1

$$(5.32) \quad \frac{1}{K} \sum_{\substack{k \leq K \\ k \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\dots,P}}} |A_\gamma \cap kS| \leq \frac{N}{P^{1/4}}$$

and

$$(5.33) \quad \frac{\gamma}{K} \sum_{\substack{k \leq K \\ k \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\dots,P}}} |A_\gamma \cap kS| < \min \left( \gamma^{-1}, \gamma \frac{N}{P^{1/4}} \right)$$

which contribution is

$$(5.34) \quad \leq \frac{N^{1/2}}{P^{1/8}}.$$

This proves Lemma 5.25.

LEMMA 5.35: Let  $B$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  and define for all  $R \geq 1$

$$(5.36) \quad B_R = \{m \in B \mid m < R\}.$$

Let  $|a_n| \leq 1$  and  $P > (\log |B|)^{20}$ . Then

$$(5.37) \quad \left\| \text{Proj}_{[0,R]} \left[ \sum_{1 < n \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\dots,P}, m \in B} \frac{a_n}{n} e^{imnx} \right] \right\|_2 < P^{-1/15} |B_R|^{1/2}.$$

*Proof:* Observe first that if  $m \in B$ ,  $n > 1$  and  $mn < R$ , then necessarily  $m \in B_R$ . The left member of (5.37) may thus be estimated by

$$(5.38) \quad \sum_{\substack{K > 1 \\ K \text{ dyadic}}} K^{-1} \left\| \sum_{\substack{1 < n \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\dots,P}, n \sim K \\ m \in B_R}} a_n e^{imnx} \right\|_2$$

making a dyadic partition in  $n$ . From Lemma 5.25 and duality, it follows that

$$(5.39) \quad K^{-1} \left\| \sum_{\substack{1 < n \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\dots,P}, n \sim K \\ m \in B_R}} a_n e^{imnx} \right\|_2 < C P^{-1/8} |B_R|^{1/2}.$$

Also, there is the trivial estimate  $|B_R|K^{-1/2}$  on the left side of (5.39). Inequality (5.37) follows.

## 6. The Littlewood conjecture revisited

In this section we recall the proof of the Littlewood conjecture

$$(6.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{im_n x} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} > c \log N$$

for

$$m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_N \in \mathbb{Z}$$

due to [M-P-S], with a few adjustments needed later on.

Let  $B = \{m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_N\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Denote

$$(6.2) \quad F = \sum_{n=1}^N e^{im_n x}$$

and  $r_0 \sim \log N$ . The inequality (6.1) is obtained using a test polynomial  $\Phi$  of the form

$$(6.3) \quad \Phi = P_1 + Q_1 P_2 + Q_1 Q_2 P_3 + \cdots + Q_1 \cdots Q_{r_0-1} P_{r_0}$$

where  $|\Phi| < C$  and for each  $r$

$$(6.4) \quad \langle F, Q_1 \cdots Q_{r-1} P_r \rangle > c.$$

Hence

$$(6.5) \quad \langle F, \Phi \rangle = \sum_{r \leq r_0} \langle F, Q_1 \cdots Q_{r-1} P_r \rangle \sim r_0.$$

We will need some information on the Fourier transform of the  $Q_r$ -functions, which will require a slight modification of the original construction. More precisely, we will require

$$(6.6) \quad \text{supp } \widehat{P}_r \subset \left\{ m_j \mid N10^{-6r} < j < N10^{-6(r-1)} \right\}$$

and

$$(6.7) \quad \text{supp } \widehat{Q}_r \subset \left[ -\frac{1}{10} (m_{N \cdot 10^{-6(r-1)}} - m_{N \cdot 10^{-6r}}), 0 \right].$$

This may be achieved as follows. Partition the interval

$$I = ]m_{N10^{-6r}}, m_{N10^{-6(r-1)}}[$$

in 40 intervals  $I_\alpha$  of size  $\frac{1}{40}|I|$  and select  $\alpha$  such that

$$(6.8) \quad N10^{-6(r-1)} > X_r \equiv \#\{j \mid m_j \in I_\alpha\} > \frac{1}{50} N10^{-6(r-1)}.$$

Define

$$(6.9) \quad P_{r,1} = \frac{1}{X_r} \sum_{m_j \in I_\alpha} e^{im_j x},$$

$$(6.10) \quad P_r = P_{r,1} * (e^{i\xi_\alpha x} F_{2|I_\alpha|}),$$

where  $\xi_\alpha$  is the center of  $I_\alpha$  and  $F_M = \sum_{|m| \leq M} \frac{M-|m|}{M} e^{imx}$  is the  $M$ -Féjer kernel.

Hence

$$(6.11) \quad \text{supp } \widehat{P}_r \subset \xi_\alpha + [-2|I_\alpha|, 2|I_\alpha|]$$

and

$$(6.12) \quad \langle F, P_r \rangle > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Define

$$(6.13) \quad Q_r = \left[ e^{-(|P_{r,1}| + i\mathcal{H}(|P_{r,1}|))} \right] * F_{2|I_\alpha|}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes the Hilbert transform on  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ .

Hence

$$\text{supp } \widehat{Q}_r \subset [-2|I_\alpha|, 0]$$

implying (6.7). Observe that by construction

$$(6.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{10} |P_r| + |Q_r| \right\|_\infty &\leq \left\| \left[ \frac{1}{10} |P_{r,1}| + e^{-|P_{r,1}|} \right] * F_{2|I_\alpha|} \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{10} |P_{r,1}| + e^{-|P_{r,1}|} \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $|\Phi| < 10$  by iteration of (14), since  $|P_r| \leq 1$ .

By (6.8)

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \|1 - Q_r\|_2 &\leq \| |P_{r,1}| + |\mathcal{H}(|P_{r,1}|)| \|_2 \leq 2\|P_{r,1}\|_2 \\ &= 2X_r^{-1/2} < 16N^{-1/2} 10^{+3(r-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Write thus, using (6.7), (6.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle F, \Phi \rangle &= \sum_{r \leq r_0} \langle F, P_r \rangle + \sum_{r \leq r_0} \langle F, P_r(1 - Q_1 \cdots Q_{r-1}) \rangle \\
 (6.16) \quad &\geq \frac{r_0}{2} + \sum_{r \leq r_0} \langle F_r, P_r(1 - Q_1 \cdots Q_{r-1}) \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

denoting

$$(6.17) \quad F_r = \sum_{j \leq N10^{-6(r-1)}} e^{im_j x}.$$

From (6.15)

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\langle F_r, P_r(1 - Q_1 \cdots Q_{r-1}) \rangle| &\leq \|F_r\|_2 \sum_{s=1}^{r-1} \|1 - Q_s\|_2 \\
 (6.18) \quad &\leq N^{1/2} 10^{-3(r-1)} \sum_{s=1}^{r-1} 16N^{-1/2} 10^{3(s-1)} < \frac{1}{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

and (6.16) yields thus

$$(6.19) \quad \|F\|_1 \geq \frac{|\langle F, \Phi \rangle|}{10} > c \log N.$$

Next, letting  $P > (\log N)^{100}$ ,  $|a_n| \leq 1$ , we need estimates on

$$(6.20) \quad \left\langle \sum_{\substack{1 < n \in \mathcal{Q}_{2, \dots, P} \\ m \in B}} \frac{a_n}{n} e^{imnx}, \Phi \right\rangle,$$

$$(6.21) \quad \left\langle \sum_{\substack{1 < n \in \mathcal{Q}_{2, \dots, P} \\ m \in B}} \frac{a_n}{n} e^{-imnx}, \Phi \right\rangle.$$

LEMMA 6.22: *With previous notations*

$$|(6.20)| + |(6.21)| < C P^{-1/15} (\log |B|)^2.$$

Write

$$(6.22) \quad (6.20) \leq \sum_{r \leq r_0} |\langle G, P_r \rangle| + \sum_{\substack{r \leq r_0 \\ 1 \leq s < r}} |\langle G, P_r(1 - Q_s)Q_{s+1} \cdots Q_{r-1} \rangle|$$

with  $G = \sum_{\substack{1 < n \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\dots,P} \\ m \in B}} \frac{a_n}{n} e^{imnx}$ .

Observe that by (6.6), (6.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } [P_r(1 - Q_s) Q_{s+1} \dots Q_r]^\wedge &\subset \left[ m_{N \cdot 10^{-6(r-1)}}, -\frac{1}{10} (m_{N \cdot 10^{-6(s-1)}} - m_{N \cdot 10^{-6s}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + m_{N \cdot 10^{-6s}} - m_{N \cdot 10^{-6(s+1)}} \dots - m_{N \cdot 10^{-6r}}) \right] \\ (6.23) \quad &\subset [m_{N \cdot 10^{-6(r-1)}}, -\frac{1}{10} m_{N \cdot 10^{-6(s-1)}}]. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.37), taking  $R = m_{N \cdot 10^{-6(r-1)}}$ , it follows from (6.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle G, P_r(1 - Q_s) Q_{s+1} \dots Q_{r-1} \rangle| &\leq P^{-1/15} N^{1/2} \cdot 10^{-3(r-1)} \\ &\quad \|P_r(1 - Q_s) Q_{s+1} \dots Q_{r-1}\|_2 \\ &\leq P^{-1/15} N^{1/2} 10^{-3(r-1)} \cdot 16 N^{-1/2} 10^{3(s-1)} \\ (6.24) \quad &\leq P^{-1/15} 10^{3(s-r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(6.25) \quad (6.20) \leq C P^{-1/15} r_0.$$

Write similarly

$$\begin{aligned} (6.21) &\leq \sum_{\substack{r \leq r_0 \\ 1 \leq s < r}} |\langle \bar{G}, P_r(1 - Q_s) Q_{s+1} \dots Q_{r-1} \rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{r \leq r_0 \\ s < r}} C P^{-1/15} \left( N \cdot 10^{-6(s-1)} \right)^{1/2} \|P_r(1 - Q_s) Q_{s+1} \dots Q_{r-1}\|_2 \\ (6.26) \quad &< C P^{-1/15} r_0^2 \end{aligned}$$

applying now (5.37) with  $R = m_{N \cdot 10^{-6(s-1)}}$ .

This proves Lemma 6.22.

As a consequence of the preceding, one gets by (6.5), (6.22),

LEMMA 6.27: Let  $B$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $P > (\log |B|)^{100}$ . Let  $|a_n|, |b_n| \leq 1$ . Then

$$\left\| \sum_{m \in B} e^{imx} + \sum_{\substack{1 < n \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\dots,P} \\ m \in B}} \frac{1}{n} (a_n e^{imnx} + b_n e^{-imnx}) \right\|_1 > c \log |B|.$$

## 7. Proof of Proposition 1.7

We use a similar approach as for the minoration of  $s_2(B)$ .

Consider

$$(7.1) \quad f_+ = \mathbb{I}_{\left] \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} \right[} \quad \text{and} \quad f_- = \mathbb{I}_{\left] -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} \right[}.$$

Then

$$(7.2) \quad S_3(B) \geq \frac{|B|}{4} + \max_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \left[ \sum_{m \in B} \left( f_{\pm} - \frac{1}{4} \right) (mx) \right].$$

Fourier expansion yields

$$(7.3) \quad \left( f_{\pm} - \frac{1}{4} \right) (x) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n\pi} \sin \frac{n\pi}{4} e^{\pm i \frac{\pi}{2} n} e^{2\pi i n x}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left( f_+ + f_- - \frac{1}{2} \right) (x) &= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sin \frac{n\pi}{4} \cdot \cos n \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \cos 2\pi n x \\ &= -\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sin n \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \cos 4\pi n x \\ (7.4) \quad &= -\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \chi_1(n) \cos 4\pi n x \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi_1$  is multiplicative mod (4).

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} (f_+ - f_-)(x) &= -\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sin \frac{n\pi}{4} \sin \frac{n\pi}{2} \sin 2\pi n x \\ (7.5) \quad &= -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \chi_2(n) \sin 2\pi n x \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi_2$  is the multiplicative character mod (8) defined by

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_2(0) &= 0 \\ \chi_2(1) &= 1 \\ \chi_2(2) &= 0 \\ \chi_2(3) &= -1 \\ \chi_2(4) &= 0 \\ \chi_2(5) &= -1 \\ \chi_2(6) &= 0 \\ \chi_2(7) &= 1 \end{cases}.$$

In analogy to the identity (2.6), we get thus

$$(7.7) \quad \sum_{k|P!} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \chi_1(k) \left[ \sum_{m \in B} \left( f_+ + f_- - \frac{1}{2} \right) (mkx) \right] = \\ -\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{m \in B} \cos 2mx - \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{1 < n \in \mathcal{G}_{2, \dots, P} \\ m \in B}} \frac{\chi_1(n)}{n} \cos 2mnx$$

and

$$(7.8) \quad \sum_{k|P!} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \chi_2(k) \left[ \sum_{m \in B} (f_+ - f_-)(mkx) \right] = \\ -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \sum_{m \in B} \sin mx - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{1 < n \in \mathcal{G}_{2, \dots, P} \\ m \in B}} \frac{\chi_2(n)}{n} \sin mnx.$$

Taking  $P \sim (\log |B|)^{100}$  and applying (6.27) yields from (7.7), (7.8)

$$(7.9) \quad c \log |B| < \left\| \sum_{m \in B} e^{2imx} + \sum_{\substack{1 < n \in \mathcal{G}_{2, \dots, P} \\ m \in B}} \frac{1}{n} (\chi_1(n) \cos 2mnx + i \chi_2(n) \sin 2mnx) \right\|_1 \\ \leq \sum_{k|P!} \frac{|\mu(k)|}{k} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} \left\| \sum_{m \in B} \left( f_+ + f_- - \frac{1}{2} \right) (mkx) \right\|_1 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} \left\| \sum_{m \in B} (f_+ - f_-)(mkx) \right\|_1 \right] \\ \leq c \prod_{\substack{p \leq P \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \left[ \left\| \sum_{m \in B} \left( f_+ - \frac{1}{4} \right) (mx) \right\|_1 + \left\| \sum_{m \in B} \left( f_- - \frac{1}{4} \right) (mx) \right\|_1 \right].$$

Consequently,

$$(7.10) \quad \max_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \left[ \sum_{m \in B} \left( f_{\pm} - \frac{1}{4} \right) (mx) \right] > c \frac{\log |B|}{\log P} = c \frac{\log |B|}{\log \log |B|}$$

which by (7.2) implies Proposition 1.7.

**Remarks:**

1. The problem to carry out the preceding to improve the lower estimate on  $s_2(B)$  is the fact that if we consider (instead of  $\mathbb{I}_{[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{8}]}$ ) a set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{T}$  satisfying  $|\Omega| = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $(\Omega + \Omega) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$ , then necessarily (by Kneser's theorem),  $\Omega = \mathbb{T} \setminus (\Omega - \Omega)$  and hence is symmetric.
2. The proof of Proposition 1.7 has similarities with [B].

### 8. Further remarks

Call  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_+$   $k$ -sum free provided

$$(8.1) \quad \underbrace{A + \cdots + A}_{k \text{ times}} \equiv (A)_k \cap A = \emptyset.$$

Denote  $s_k(A)$  the cardinality of a maximum size subset  $B$  of  $A$  which is  $k$ -sum free. One gets easily

$$(8.2) \quad s_k(A) > \frac{1}{k+1}|A|.$$

In fact, there is also the following infinite version of this property:

Let  $\{n_j\}$  be an increasing sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ . There is a set  $S$  such that  $\{n_j | j \in S\}$  is  $k$  sum-free and

$$(8.3) \quad \lim_N \frac{1}{N} |S \cap [0, N]| \geq \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

Both facts (8.2), (8.3) are easily obtained considering the analogue of (2.1), with now  $J = \left[ \frac{1}{2(k-1)} - \frac{1}{2(k+1)}, \frac{1}{2(k-1)} + \frac{1}{2(k+1)} \right]$ , averaging over  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Our next purpose is to show that an estimate

$$(8.4) \quad s_k(A) > \delta_k |A|$$

for any finite  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_+$  requires at least  $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$  for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . This fact will follow by constructing appropriate examples.

We will rely on the following fact, which is an exercise on the circle method (we omit the proof).

LEMMA 8.5: *Let  $A \subset \mathbb{Z} \cap [0, M]$  and assume*

$$(8.6) \quad |A| > \delta M$$

(with  $\delta > 0$  a fixed constant). Thus there are integers  $r$  and  $q$  and an interval  $I$  such that

$$(8.7) \quad r, q < C(\delta),$$

$$(8.8) \quad |I| = M, \quad I \subset [M, rM],$$

$$(8.9) \quad q(I \cap \mathbb{Z}) \subset (A)_r.$$

We make the following construction.

Fix  $N, J$  (to be specified depending on  $k, \delta$ ). Denote

$$(8.10) \quad A_j = \{j!n \mid \frac{N}{2} \leq n \leq N\},$$

$$(8.11) \quad A = \bigcup_{j=1}^J A_j.$$

Assume  $B \subset A$  and  $|B| > \delta|A|$ . We assume  $\delta > 0$  fixed and will denote by  $C$  various constants that may depend on  $\delta$ .

One may find integers  $1 \leq j_0 < j_1 < j_2 < J$  such that

$$(8.12) \quad j_1 - j_0 > \frac{1}{2}\delta J,$$

$$(8.13) \quad |j_1 - j_2| < J_1,$$

$$(8.14) \quad |B \cap A_{j_0}| > \frac{\delta}{4}N,$$

$$(8.15) \quad |B \cap A_{j_1}| > \frac{\delta}{4}N,$$

$$(8.16) \quad B \cap A_{j_2} \neq \emptyset.$$

Here  $J_1 \ll J$ ,  $\delta J_1 > C$ , are to be specified. This follows easily from the fact that  $|B| > \delta|A|$ . From Lemma 8.5, (8.14), (8.15), there are integers  $r_0, q_0, r_1, q_1$  and intervals  $I_0, I_1$  of integers satisfying

$$(8.17) \quad r_0, r_1, q_0, q_1 < C,$$

$$(8.18) \quad I_0 \subset [N, r_0 N], \quad |I_0| = N,$$

$$(8.19) \quad I_1 \subset [N, r_1 N], \quad |I_1| = N,$$

$$(8.20) \quad \{q_1 j_0! n \mid n \in I_0\} \subset (B \cap A_{j_0})_{r_0},$$

$$(8.21) \quad \{q_1 j_1! n \mid n \in I_1\} \subset (B \cap A_{j_1})_{r_1}.$$

Let  $I_1 = [a_1, b_1]$ , thus  $b_1 > (1 + \frac{1}{r_1})a_1, a_1 > N$ . Fix also some element  $\bar{n}j_1! \in B \cap A_{j_1}$ .

Consider  $C < \ell_1 < kC^{-1}$  and choose  $\ell_0$  such that

$$(8.22) \quad r_0 \ell_0 + r_1 \ell_1 < k,$$

$$(8.23) \quad |k - r_0 \ell_0 - r_1 \ell_1| < C.$$

Consider the following subset of  $(B)_k$ :

$$(8.24) \quad (B \cap A_{j_0})_{r_0 \ell_0} + (B \cap A_{j_1})_{r_1 \ell_1} + (k - r_0 \ell_0 - r_1 \ell_1) \bar{n} j_1!$$

which by (8.20), (8.21) contains the set

$$(8.25) \quad \{q_0 j_0! n_0 + q_1 j_1! n_1 + (k - r_0 \ell_0 - r_1 \ell_1) \bar{n} j_1! \mid n_0 \in (I_0)_{\ell_0}, n_1 \in (I_1)_{\ell_1}\}.$$

From (8.12),  $q_0 j_0! |j_1!$ . Assume  $k < e^{\delta J}$ , hence

$$(8.26) \quad k \ll \frac{j_1!}{j_0!}.$$

One easily checks that (8.25) contains a set of the form

$$(8.27) \quad j_1! (\mathbb{Z} \cap [q_1 \ell_1 a_1 + CN, q_1 \ell_1 b_1 - CN]).$$

Letting  $\ell_1$  range as above, it follows that  $(B)_k$  contains a set

$$(8.28) \quad j_1! (\mathbb{Z} \cap [Ca_1, \frac{k}{C} b_1])$$

$$(8.29) \quad \supset j_1! (\mathbb{Z} \cap [CN, \frac{k}{C} N]).$$

To obtain that  $(B)_k \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , it suffices thus by (8.16) to verify that

$$(8.30) \quad A_{j_2} = \{n j_2! \mid \frac{N}{2} \leq n \leq N\} \subset j_1! (\mathbb{Z} \cap [CN, \frac{k}{C} N]).$$

This will be the case provided

$$(8.31) \quad \frac{N}{2} j_2! > CN j_1!,$$

$$(8.32) \quad N j_2! < \frac{k}{C} N j_1!.$$

(8.31) follows from  $j_2 > j_1 > \frac{1}{2} \delta J$  and, by (8.13), (8.32), will be satisfied if

$$(8.33) \quad \frac{j_2!}{j_1!} < J^{j_2-j_1} < J^{J_1} < k.$$

Thus summarizing the resulting conditions on the different parameters, we find  $\delta J_1 > C, k < e^{\delta J}, J^{J_1} < k$ . For  $k$  sufficiently large (depending on  $\delta$ ), these are clearly compatible.

### References

- [A-K] N. Alon and D. J. Kleitman, *Sum-free subsets*, in *A Tribute to Paul Erdős*, Cambridge University Press, 1990, pp. 13–26.
- [B] J. Bourgain,  $\ell^1$ -sequences generated by Sidon sets, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* **29** (1984), 283–288.
- [Erd] P. Erdős, *Extremal problems in number theory*, *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* **3** (1965), 181–189.
- [M-P-S] O. McGehee, L. Pigno and B. Smith, *Hardy's inequality and the  $L^1$ -norm of exponential sums*, *Annals of Mathematics* **113** (1981), 613–618.